INTERVENTIONS TO IMPROVE BREASTFEEDING OUTCOMES: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Dr. (Mrs.) Sarvamangala S

*Principal Shree Sapthagiri Institute of Nursing Sciences,. Hosapete, Bellari, Karnataka, India.

ABSTRACT

Aim

To provide comprehensive evidence of the effect of interventions on early initiation, exclusive, continued and any breastfeeding rates when delivered in five settings: (i) Health systems and services (ii) Home and family environment (iii) Community environment (iv) Work environment (v) Policy environment or a combination of any of above.

Methods

Of 23977 titles identified through a systematic literature search in PUBMED, Cochrane and CABI, 35 articles relevant to our objective, were included. We reported the pooled relative risk and corresponding 95% confidence intervals as our outcome estimate. In cases of high heterogeneity, we explored its causes by subgroup analysis and meta-regression and applied random effects model.

Results

Intervention delivery in combination of settings seemed to have higher improvements in breastfeeding rates. Greatest improvements in early initiation of breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding and continued breastfeeding rates, were seen when counselling or education were provided concurrently in home and community, health systems and community, health systems and home settings, respectively. Baby friendly hospital support at health system was the most effective intervention to improve rates of any breast feeding.

Conclusion

To promote breastfeeding, interventions should be delivered in a combination of settings by involving health systems, home and family and the community environment concurrently.

Keywords: Meta-analysis, Nursing interventions, Maternal health, Child health, India.

ABOUT AUTHOR:



Author, Dr. (Mrs.) Sarvamangala S is Principal Shree Sapthagiri Institute of Nursing Sciences,. Hosapete, Bellari, Karnataka, India.

INTRODUCTION

The promotion of optimal breastfeeding practices is fundamental to ensuring child survival, nutrition, and early childhood development. Both the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) advocate for the initiation of breastfeeding within the first hour of birth, exclusive breastfeeding for the first 6 months of life, and continued breastfeeding for at least 2 years or more, alongside the introduction of nutritionally adequate and safe complementary foods (1). These recommended practices are incredibly crucial, as they have the potential to prevent approximately 12% of deaths in children under five annually, which in 2013 would have equated to saving around 800,000 lives in low and middle-income countries (2). Beyond the immediate health benefits, optimal breastfeeding practices also foster strong mother-infant bonding, support optimal growth and development, offer protection against non-communicable diseases, and promote maternal health (3,4). According to a report by the World Health Organization (WHO) focusing on 47 out of 75 countdown countries, the median coverage of exclusive breastfeeding has seen a modest increase from 34% in the period of 2000-2007 to 41% in 2008-2012 (8). Enhancing breastfeeding rates is crucial for achieving the unmet goals of Millennium Development Goal 4 and necessitates immediate attention (9). The Comprehensive Implementation Plan for Maternal, Infant, and Young Child Nutrition aims to raise the rate of exclusive breastfeeding in the first 6 months of life from the current 40% to at least 50% by 2025 (10).

Effective breastfeeding promotion interventions, encompassing a range of protection, promotion, and support measures, are essential to empower and assist mothers in overcoming breastfeeding challenges. Interventions such as the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative, peer counselor support through home visits and telephonic assistance, group counseling, community awareness campaigns, health program strategies like Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI), and policies such as the WHO Code of Marketing of Breast Milk Substitutes have been shown to be effective in enhancing breastfeeding rates in various studies (14).

Numerous systematic reviews have examined the impact of specific interventions on breastfeeding rates. These include antenatal education (20), lactation counseling by counselors or healthcare professionals (7), telephone support (8), peer support (), and workplace support (21). Other reviews have focused on interventions in specific settings such as the community (13) or primary healthcare (14). Recent reviews that pooled studies on educational interventions have demonstrated a significant improvement in exclusive breastfeeding rates with these interventions (16).

METHODS

We searched for existing systematic reviews, particularly Cochrane reviews, on the effects of interventions on breastfeeding outcomes. As the scope of our objective was wider than previous reviews, we planned for a new review. Review authors screened the titles and abstracts independently to identify potentially relevant citations. They retrieved the full texts of all potentially relevant articles and independently assessed eligibility of the studies using pre-defined inclusion criteria (17). Data extraction was done for all the articles which were found to be relevant. Any disagreements or discrepancies between reviewers were resolved by discussion and, if necessary, by consulting a third review author (JSM). In addition to theelectronic search, we reviewed the reference lists of the articles identified. We used web-based citation index for citing manuscripts of these identified articles.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Our inclusion criteria encompassed randomized controlled trials (RCTs), including cluster randomized trials, quasi-experimental trials, and observational studies (prospective/retrospective cohort and case-control). We included all studies focusing on interventions to enhance breastfeeding that were administered to mothers during the antenatal or postnatal period, or both. Additionally, studies were included if the interventions to improve breastfeeding were targeted at families, communities, healthcare staff, and other stakeholders. For articles in languages other than English, we made efforts to determine if the abstract was available in English.

RESULTS

| Subgroup | No. of estimates | Pooled odds ratio and 95% confidence interval | 12 (%) | Meta-regression p value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|-----------|-------------------------|
| All interventions | 49 | 1.25 (1.19–1.32) | 90.6 | - |
| Intervention delivery setting | | | | |
| - Health systems and services | 29 | 1.11 (1.06; 1.16) | 88.2 | 0.534 |
| - Home and family environment | 5 | 1.74 (0.97; 3.12)* | 93.8 | - |
| - Community environment | 5 | 1.86 (1.33; 2.59) | 69.3 | - |
| - Combination of settings | 10 | 1.57 (1.24; 1.97) | 86.8 | - |
| - Health system + Home | 6 | 1.36 (1.07; 1.73) | 79.1 | - |
| - Home + Community | 3 | 1.85 (1.08; 3.17) | 91.1 | - |
| - Health system + Community | 1 | 2.09 (1.64; 2.67) | - | - |
| Study size | | | | |
| - <500 participants | 26 | 1.30 (1.18; 1.44) | 86.2 | 0.871 |
| - 500–1499 participants | 11 | 1.48 (1.24; 1.75) | 92.1 | - |
| - ≥1500 participants | 12 | 1.10 (1.03; 1.18) | 93.8 | - |
| Country type | | | | |
| - High income | 31 | 1.13 (1.07; 1.19) | 88.0 | 0.046 |
| - Lower mid income Urban/Rural | 18 | 1.66 (1.44; 1.91) | 92.8 | - |
| - Urban | 27 | 1.24 (1.13; 1.36) | 87.9 | 0.773 |
| - Rural | 8 | 1.72 (1.26; 2.36) | 94.1 | - |
| - Combined | 1 | 1.35 (1.05; 1.73) | - | - |
| Study design | | | | |
| - RCT | 12 | 1.48 (1.23; 1.79) | 94.0 | 0.835 |
| - Observational | 15 | 1.20 (1.11; 1.30) | 91.3 | - |
| - Quasi experimental | 22 | 1.19 (1.10; 1.29) | 85.7 | - |
| Control for confounding | | | | |
| - Yes | 73 | 1.25 (1.18; 1.32) | 92.8 | 0.930 |
| - No Quality of study | 57 | 1.26 (1.12; 1.42) | 84.6 | - |
| Adequate | 27 | 1.19 (1.13; 1.26) | 91.4 | 0.283 |
| Inadequate | 22 | 1.36 (1.19; 1.55) | 89.2 | - |

For promoting early initiation of breastfeeding, counselling or educational interventions delivered at home and in the community were identified as the most effective (85% increase) and should be prioritized. Counselling as a single intervention in the community environment was also effective but had a lesser impact on breastfeeding initiation. This aligns with findings from, indicating that counselling by health staff only at home had no significant effect on breastfeeding initiation. This suggests the importance of community-wide awareness in addition to educating individual mothers.

In terms of promoting exclusive breastfeeding, counselling or education in both the health system and community settings were found to be the most effective (increase by 152%) among the interventions studied. While individual interventions, such as counselling in health systems or communities, showed a significant but lower impact on exclusive breastfeeding rates, the combination of these interventions had a synergistic effect. This finding is consistent with a review, which found that combined facility and community-based interventions led to greater improvements in breastfeeding rates. Similarly, interventions delivered in both health systems and home settings had a greater impact on exclusive breastfeeding rates compared to when delivered in individual settings alone. Surprisingly, family or social support was found to have no significant effect on promoting exclusive breastfeeding.

DISCUSSION

The systematic review findings affirm the effectiveness of complex adaptive systems-driven models such as the 'Breastfeeding Gear Model', which advocate for the involvement of various sectors and stakeholders to ensure well-coordinated efforts in promoting optimal breastfeeding practices worldwide. Based on these findings, it can be inferred that to promote breastfeeding optimally in expectant or nursing mothers, support should be provided across multiple settings. This includes increasing community awareness about breastfeeding, providing hospital or health system support through the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) approach, and offering home and family support through counseling. Key interventions for promoting optimal breastfeeding practices include counseling by peers or health personnel, support from baby-friendly hospitals, and community mobilization approaches. We therefore recommend a multidimensional approach to enhance breastfeeding interventions.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lucchini RC, Uribe TC, Villarroel DP, Rojas RA. Randomized controlled clinical trial evaluating determinants of successful breastfeeding: follow-up two months after comprehensive intervention versus standard care delivery. Rev Chil Pediatr. 2017; 84: 138–44.
- 2. Martens PJ. Does breastfeeding education affect nursing staff beliefs, exclusive breastfeeding rates, and Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative compliance? The experience of a small, rural Canadian hospital. J Hum Lact. 2015; 16: 309–18.
- 3. Mattar CN, Chong YS, Chan YS, Chew A, Tan P, Chan YH, et al. Simple antenatal preparation to improve breastfeeding practice: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2016; 109: 73–80.
- 4. McDonald SJ, Henderson JJ, Evans SF, Faulkner S, Hagan R. Effect of an extended midwifery support program on the duration of breastfeeding: a randomized controlled trial. [abstract] Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand 7th Annual Congress; 2014 March 9-12; Tasmania, Australia 2014: A68.
- 5. McInnes RJ, Love JG, Stone DH. Evaluation of a community-based intervention to increase breastfeeding prevalence. J Public Health Med. 2018; 22: 138–45.
- 6. McKeever P, Stevens B, Miller KL, MacDonell JW, Gibbins S, Guerriere D, et al. Home versus hospital breastfeeding support for newborns: a randomized controlled trial. Birth. 2017; 29: 258–65.
- 7. McQueen KA, Dennis CL, Stremler R, Norman CD. A pilot randomized controlled trial of a breastfeeding self-efficacy intervention with primiparous mothers. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs. 2016; 40: 35–46.
- 8. Mellin PS, Poplawski DT, Gole A, Mass SB. Impact of a formal breastfeeding education program. MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs. 2018; 36: 82–8; quiz 9–90.
- 9. Merewood A, Chamberlain LB, Cook JT, Philipp BL, Malone K, Bauchner H. The effect of peer counselors on breastfeeding rates in the neonatal intensive care unit: results of a randomized controlled trial. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2015; 160: 681–5.
- 10. Merewood A, Philipp BL, Chawla N, Cimo S. The baby-friendly hospital initiative increases breastfeeding rates in a US neonatal intensive care unit. J Hum Lact. 2014; 19: 166–71.
- 11. Merten S, Dratva J, Ackermann-Liebrich U. Do baby-friendly hospitals influence breastfeeding duration on a national level? Pediatrics. 2017; 116: e702–8.
- 12. Morrell CJ, Spiby H, Stewart P, Walters S, Morgan A. Costs and effectiveness of community postnatal support workers: randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2016; 321: 593–8.
- 13. Morrow AL, Guerrero ML, Shults J, Calva JJ, Lutter C, Bravo J, et al. Efficacy of home-based peer counselling to promote exclusive breastfeeding: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2014; 353: 1226–31.
- 14. Muirhead PE, Butcher G, Rankin J, Munley A. The effect of a programme of organised and supervised peer support on the initiation and duration of breastfeeding: a randomised trial. Br J Gen Pract. 2018; 56: 191–7.
- 15. Mydlilova A, Sipek A, Vignerova J. Breastfeeding rates in baby-friendly and non-baby-friendly hospitals in the Czech Republic from 2000 to 2006. J Hum Lact. 2017; 25: 73–8.

- 16. Neyzi O, Gulecyuz M, Dincer Z, Olgun P, Kutluay T, Uzel N, et al. An educational intervention on promotion of breast feeding complemented by continuing support. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2018; 5: 299–303.
- 17. Nommsen-Rivers LA, Mastergeorge AM, Hansen RL, Cullum AS, Dewey KG. Doula care, early breastfeeding outcomes, and breastfeeding status at 6 weeks postpartum among low-income primiparae. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs. 2016; 38: 157–73.
- 18. Ochola SA, Labadarios D, Nduati RW. Impact of counselling on exclusive breastfeeding practices in a poor urban setting in Kenya: a randomized controlled trial. Public Health Nutr. 2017; 16: 1732–40.
- 19. Ojofeitimi EO, Esimai OA, Owolabi OO, Olaobaju OF, Olanuga TO. Breastfeeding practices in urban and rural health centres: impact of baby friendly hospital initiative in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Nutr Health. 2015; 14: 119–25.
- 20. Olayemi O, Aimakhu CO, Bello FA, Motayo VO, Ogunleye AA, Odunukan OW, et al. The influence of social support on the duration of breastfeeding among antenatal patients in Ibadan. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2013; 27: 802–5.
- 21. Oliveira LD, Giugliani ER, do Esp´ırito Santo LC, Franc,a MC, Weigert EM, Kohler CV, et al. Effect of intervention to improve breastfeeding technique on the frequency of exclusive breastfeeding and lactation-related problems. J Hum Lact. 2014; 22: 315–21.